

John (Jack) R. Venrick

From: "John (Jack) R. Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
To: "AJack R. Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 06, 2011 12:26 PM
Subject: AMERICAN CONSUMER TAX IS A BAD TAX

----- Original Message -----

From: [John \(Jack\) R. Venrick](#)
To: [AJack R. Venrick](#)
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 10:50 PM
Subject: Fw: AMERICAN CONSUMER TAX IS A BAD TAX

To: The American Natural Born and Legitimately
Naturalized, States of Washington and Montana House
and Senate, Property Rights Groups, Media

This is a terrible act. Allow me to count the reasons why
again.

1. All taxes upon the natural born Americans go against the higher fundamental and founding laws, i.e. the Laws of Nature and Nature's God, The Declaration of Independence and The spirit and intent of the first American Revolution, how soon we forget the Boston tea tax.
2. One can make an easy case that all forms of taxation ultimately are paid by the natural born, thus all taxes are illegitimate for reasons above.
3. Anyone who needs a refresher on this subject may go here to find 6 years of research -
 - o <http://www.freedomforallseasons.org/FreedomFrom>
 - o Please find the Illegitimate vs. Legitimate table which clearly outlines the less evil taxes vs. most evil
 - o Lysander Spooner presents convincing evidence that the customs, duties and impost does not work like you think either. I am studying this and will probably change customs taxes from "Legitimate" to Illegitimate.
4. There is only 30 days difference between the worse state and the "best" state in America regarding total taxation.
 - o Please visit here <http://www.taxfoundation.org/taxdata/show/387.htm> compare the "Tax Free" day of state #1 and #50.

2/6/2011

- o If this surprises you, please read more to be fully shocked into reality
- 5. All forms of taxing are like musical chairs and a shell game combined.
 - o Someone is left without a chair or the pea, and someone is the American natural born
- 6. It is true the 16th Amendment was never fully ratified, nor was the 14th or the 17th Amendment.
 - o Even the high courts have slapped down the so called income tax
 - o But that does not stop the inherent and residual corruption within the American system of racketeering.
 - o American politicians at all levels, federal, state, county and city make Al Capone look like a Boy Scout.
- 7. The intent of the 16th so called "Income Tax" Amendment was to tax the rich for profit & gain because they were messing with the elections, et al.
 - o Nothing has changed under the sun.
 - o This so called "amendment" was not targeting property or wages or labor.
 - o You cannot "repeal" an Act that is NOT nor never was legitimate
 - o This is the same issue with the 14th and 17th so called Amendment
- 8. There is NO federal tax statute/law which requires a manitory forced filing or tax on private property including labor according the IRS own kind, EX Special Agent Tom Bannister, CPA. The high courts have acknowledge this seven ways from Sunday.
- 9. The act of changing the form of taxation is not unlike over laying a new highly contagious communicable disease hoping the new plague will wipe out or make the old black plague feel better.

Jack Venrick
www.freedomforallseasons.org

----- Original Message -----
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2011 9:10 AM
Subject: AMERICAN CONSUMER TAX - printable copy...

AMERICANS - YOU...have a choice!

PLEASE let everyone know -particularly your representatives!

AMERICAN CONSUMER TAX (ACT)

Income Tax vs. The AMERICAN CONSUMER TAX (ACT)

1. Where or when did taxation come from? Not exactly sure, but taxation of some sort, whether property, income, sales, etc., has been going on for millenniums. Romans taxed their subjects before Christ.

2/6/2011

2. When did income taxation begin?

Not exactly sure when it started, but in 1848, Karl Marx wrote the Communist Manifesto. Under Demand #2 of the ten point list of demands, Marx wrote "A heavy progressive or graduated income tax."

(<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TheCommunistManifesto>).

Presumably, this was done to develop class warfare.

Are we not experiencing class warfare now?

Isn't the Bush tax cut extension that was discussed and approved in December 2010 another example of class warfare?

3. Does the US government have the right to tax?

Under Article 2 Section 2 paragraph 3 of the U.S. Constitution, it is stated "Representatives and direct taxes shall be apportioned among the several states which may be included in this Union, according to their respective numbers, ... "

Article 2 Section 8 paragraph 1 states: "The Congress shall have power to lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts, and Excises ... "

Article 2 Section 9 paragraph 4 states: "No Capitation or other direct tax shall be laid, unless in Proportion to the Census or Enumeration hereinbefore directed to be taken."

Finally, the 16th Amendment allegedly gave power to the Congress to collect taxes on incomes from whatever source derived without apportionment among the several states and without regard to any census or enumeration.

4. What do you mean the 16th Amendment allegedly gave power to the Congress to collect taxes?

Some, like Bob Shultz of We the People Foundation, assert that the 16th Amendment was never properly ratified.

<http://www.wethepeoplefoundation.org>

Additionally, the 16th Amendment did not grant Congress the power to enforce the amendment. For example, in the 15th, 18th and 19th Amendments, it is unequivocally stated that the Congress have the power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation.

This is not stated in the 16th Amendment.

5. Is there a law requiring income taxes be collected?

We've yet to find Constitutional law that requires Americans to pay income taxes. Sherry Jackson, Joe Bannister and John Turner are ex-IRS agents who preach there is no law requiring citizens to pay income taxes. Sherry Jackson was found guilty in a trial for various charges brought about by the

2/6/2011

IRS and is now in prison.

Joe Bannister recently beat two charges brought on by the IRS

[http://Uwww.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?](http://Uwww.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=111&contentid=2357&page=1)

[channelid=111&contentid=2357&page=1](http://Uwww.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=111&contentid=2357&page=1)

[http://Uwww.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?](http://Uwww.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=111&contentid=2357&page=2)

[channelid=111&contentid=2357&page=2](http://Uwww.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=111&contentid=2357&page=2)

There have been others who have gone to trial against the IRS just for asking: "*Where is the law?*"

Vemeice Kugelin in 2003 and Tom Cryer in 2007 both won their cases against the IRS based on "Where is the law" argument.

6. If the 16th Amendment granted the Congress the right to directly tax people, but the Congress doesn't have the power to enforce it and there doesn't appear to be any law requiring citizens to pay taxes, then how or why are income taxes being collected?

Title 26 of the United States Code provides the regulations for taxation. Normally, a law has to be in force before regs can be written. Seemingly, the IRS goes about its business based on these regs. However, in "Cracking the Code" by Pete Hendrickson and "IRS Humbug" by Frank Humbug both men state Americans do not have to pay income taxes unless they are government workers. Their beliefs are based on their analyses of the IRS Code in Title 26.

7. When did income taxation begin in the USA?

The 16th Amendment was allegedly ratified in 1913. First income tax collections began in 1916.

8. The Constitution allows direct taxation of the people based on census apportionment, the 16th Amendment said apportionment isn't required, but the Congress doesn't appear to have the power to enforce the 16th Amendment, and there doesn't appear to be any law requiring direct income taxation of the people, but taxation occurs because of the IRS Code of regulations which are supposed to come from law, this is all very confusing.

What does the Supreme Court have to say about all this?

In the 1881 Springer, the 1895 Pollock, the 1916 Brushaber, and the 1937 Steward Machinery decisions, the Supreme Court stated there shall be no direct taxation of the people. Please note two decisions before and two decisions after ratification of the 16th Amendment the Supreme Court stated there shall be no direct taxation of the people.

2/6/2011

9. There seems to be a lot of contradictions, convolutions, and confusion involving the income tax. What is going on here?

True. The IRS collects taxes, and when people disagree the IRS usually wins.

We are not going to comment on why they win, but we are certain a lot of angst could be eliminated, and fairness in taxation could be brought about if we were to:

- a) Abolish income tax in its entirety.
- b) Repeal the 16th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

- c) Replace it with common sense taxation (such as the American Consumer Tax) as called for in the original Constitution by our Founding Fathers.
- d) Close the "income" processing section of the IRS office.

10. How will I benefit if we abolished "income" tax?

You would keep your paycheck, and thereon be able to budget your own money.

11. What then, would be the government's income source?

Implement equal sales tax on most goods.

Services would be exempt.

12. Why ACT now?

It's the only sure way to turn around America, and will be done at some point in our history. Why not today?

13. Who wants to ACT now?

All Citizens of the United States of America; regardless their political, business affiliations, ethnicity, gender, social-economic arena.

14. Who does not want to ACT now?

Anyone who wants to control you, steal your private property and redistribute

it to someone else.

Remember! Your paycheck is your private property!

15. How would ACT be implemented?

- a) Before you sell a product you will be required to register with your state.
- b) State provides registered seller an "ACT Sales LD." number.
- c) State provides seller the simple formula which adjusts cash, debit, check and credit receipts, and determines how much money state receives from your sales.

2/6/2011

- d) Seller chooses the formula and form in hard copy or software program.
- e) Software would be downloaded on cash registers, and percentage automatically deducted and sent daily to state only.
- f) Software and hard copy formulas would be the "tax collectors." Never the seller!

16. Would any product be exempt?

Debatable; maybe medical and food items?

17. How can my state ACT now?

- * ACT registrations
- * ACT enforcement
- * ACT collections
- * ACT Sales LD. data base
- * Agreement with federal as to dollar amount (%) sent to federal

18. What happens if I ACT now?

You will be able to keep your entire paycheck!

What you earn will be no one's business except yours!

Finally - you'll know your true earnings, and enjoy budgeting, saving, investing and spending it!

You can work like everything, and know the federal government won't penalize

you for" earning too much."

19. Are there other benefits?

- a) Federal spending will be reined in to handle only those areas as outlined in our U.S. Constitution.
- b) Ends the costly processing fees that accrue in federal will give states a higher yield on ACT monies collected.
- c) Instead of sending your withholding tax to federal, your employer could give that money to you.
- d) Countless hours and money wasted calculating dollars and interpreting elusive tax codes will be freed up to produce essential products.
- e) Employers would be able to pass on savings to their employees, build infrastructure, and produce more products.
- f) Each person who sets foot on U.S. soil would help re-build America, each time they purchased something.
- g) Non-discriminatory, ACT would give illegal immigrants, tourists, drug dealers, whomever,

2/6/2011

an opportunity to work together and contribute to the re-building of America.

h) Those who off-shore money and jobs, would no longer do so. They'd keep it in America, increase deposits and improve financial stability of banks.

I) Banks would loan money, thereby capitalizing businesses that produce and provide goods. services and jobs.

Commentary

The identification and taxing of ones personal income needs to be stopped now.

ACT should not be permanent; it should instead be used as a transitional tool to

eventually return us to the United States Constitutional defines (tariffs, no taxation of natural born) of collecting taxes.

Honest citizens who made mistakes on their tax forms must be de-criminalized.

Class warfare, and the intrusive, unfair powers of IRS must be stopped.

Hypothesis: Under a 10% ACT, Joe the plumber would spend \$10.00 on a \$100.00 wrench compared to Donald Trump's spending \$1,000,000 on a 10 million dollar jet.

Charitable citizens would have more to give to their neighbor in need.

ACT would generate enthusiasm and incentive for the creators to create, entrepreneurs to take risks, and venture capitalists to invest.

Manufacturers returning to U.S. soil would create jobs and recharge our economy.

You would buy their products, pay taxes on them, and so the circle grows.

States would (rightfully) handle their own unique education, medical, welfare,

road needs.

Onerous policies like death tax, conservation easements, will become moot.

Law makers will have opportunity to address more important matters.

Even the lowest ACT tax % will generate more monies than taxing incomes.

What you should know.

To date, not one entity has in earnest addressed abolishing "income" tax and transitioning in the American Consumer Tax (ACT) - or a comparable fair tax.

Encourage your elected officials to get bold and courageously abolish

2/6/2011

income tax and implement ACT. That would force Federal's out of control spending to a stop, and turn around our economy today!

ACT would correct federal spending to its original defines under the U.S. Constitution, resulting in the "reining in federal spending."

Your income is your Private Property. No entity has the right to take it. This is called stealing.

No entity has the right to know how much you earn. This is an invasion of your privacy. Your Constitution guards against such unscrupulous actions. There should never be a discussion regarding the "income" levels that should or should not be taxed.

Some possible ways ACT tax can be figured.

Determine how much each state spends protecting itself from federal actions (Examples: Christie suit in N.J., multi-state suits on Obamacare, DOI, EPA, eminent domain...).

Determine how much each state spends performing duties that federal government should, but fails to perform (includes border protection, illegal immigration, fair trade).

Determine how much money is lost through the accrued cost of looping through D.C. (ACT monies collected and kept in states will enjoy a higher yield.).

Knowledge and adjustment of these factors will help establish percentage of ACT each state could collect, and help identify percentage each state could send to federal.

Discussion: www.AmericanConsumerTax.Blogspot.com
www.LandAndWaterUSA.com

2/6/2011